777™ MARKET FORMATION

# Multi-Timeframe Study Checklist

A practical worksheet for context, structure, and risk-aware review

THE STUDY SEQUENCE

**Context → Detail → Boundary → Review**

Use this worksheet to make a chart study visible before you judge the result.

Prepared for independent study

777™ Market Formation

# How to use this checklist

Slow the process down enough to describe what you actually know.

**A simple rule**

Context first. Detail second. Invalidation before action. Learning after the outcome.

| Step | Purpose |
|---|---|
| 01 | Define the study |
| 02 | Read the wider context |
| 03 | Compare the working and execution views |
| 04 | Write the invalidation and risk boundary |
| 05 | Review the process without rewriting history |

This is an educational worksheet. It is not a signal, individualized financial advice, or a promise of a market outcome. The numbers and prompts are designed to organize observation, not manufacture certainty.

**Before you begin**

Write the market, date, chart views, and question you are studying. If the question is unclear, the conclusion should remain provisional.

# 1 01 · Define the study

Record the basic frame before looking for a story.

| Field | Your note |
|---|---|
| Market / instrument | |
| Date and session | |
| Chart views selected | |
| Question I am trying to answer | |

# 2 02 · Read the wider context

Use the higher-timeframe view to describe the environment, not to predict the next move.

[ ] What is the broad condition? directional · balanced · corrective · unclear
[ ] Which meaningful highs, lows, ranges, or zones are visible?
[ ] Where is price relative to the larger range?
[ ] What would make this context invalid?

### Context note

Write observations, not predictions.

# 3 03 · Check the working view

Move down only after the wider context has been described.

| Question | Observation | Still unclear |
|---|---|---|
| Does the middle view support the wider context? | | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Where is price accepting or rejecting value? | | |
| Which levels or zones deserve attention? | | |

# 4 04 · Use the lower view carefully

The execution view adds detail. It should not erase the information from the higher view.

[ ] What changed in structure on the lower view?
[ ] Is the movement meaningful or ordinary market noise?
[ ] Is the lower view confirming, refining, or contradicting the context?
[ ] What evidence would support the idea?
[ ] What evidence would invalidate the idea?

# 5 05 · Map the relationship

Use the space below to connect the three views in plain language.

| View | What I see | What it suggests | What it cannot prove |
|---|---|---|---|
| Wider | | | |
| Working | | | |
| Lower | | | |

# 6 06 · Write the boundary before action

A study is incomplete until it includes the conditions that would make it no longer useful.

**My invalidation statement**

If ………………………… occurs, then this study is no longer valid because ………………………… .

**My risk boundary**

My own plan allows me to risk ………………………… . I will not increase exposure simply because the chart looks clearer.

**Decision status**

[ ] Study only [ ] Wait [ ] No position [ ] Continue reviewing [ ] Other: ……….

# 7 07 · Review after the outcome

Do not let a result rewrite what was knowable beforehand.

| Review question | Your note |
|---|---|
| What did I observe before the outcome was known? | |
| What did I assume without evidence? | |
| Which part of the process was clear? | |
| Which part remained uncertain? | |
| What would I repeat in the next study? | |
| What would I change in the next study? | |

# 8 08 · Self-audit

[ ] I described the condition before attaching a directional story.
[ ] I used each timeframe for a specific question.
[ ] I marked the references that made the study worth examining.
[ ] I wrote what would invalidate the idea.
[ ] I separated the quality of the process from the market result.
[ ] I accepted that waiting or taking no position can be disciplined.
[ ] I did not use this worksheet as a substitute for my own risk plan.

### What this checklist is for

It helps make a study reviewable. It does not make a market predictable. The most useful conclusion may be a better question, a smaller commitment, or the decision to wait.

# 9 09 · Continue learning

Pair this worksheet with the free 777™ learning library.

| Next resource | Why open it |
|---|---|
| Multi-timeframe guide | Learn how context, working, and execution views can ask different questions. |
| Framework lesson | Study formation, liquidity interaction, displacement, and expansion as a connected map. |
| Journal exercise | Turn the observations on this page into a repeatable review habit. |
| Risk boundaries | Explore exposure, invalidation, uncertainty, and process review before action. |

### Plan your next study

Next market or instrument: ……………………………………………

Next study date: ……………………………………………

One question I want to answer: ……………………………………………

One boundary I will respect: ……………………………………………